1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITMAN		
11			
12	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY, an institution of higher education and agency of the State of Washington; KIRK H. SCHULZ, in his	Case No. COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF	
13	official capacities as the President of Washington State University and Chair of the Pac-12 Board of	BYLAWS, DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND INJUNCTIVE	
14 15	Directors; OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY, an institution of higher education and agency of the State of Oregon; and JAYATHI Y. MURTHY, in	RELIEF	
16	her official capacities as the President of Oregon State University and Member of the Pac-12 Board of Directors,		
17	Plaintiffs,		
18	V.		
19	THE PAC-12 CONFERENCE; and GEORGE		
20	KLIAVKOFF, in his official capacity as Commissioner of the Pac-12 Conference,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF BYLAWS, DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		

For their complaint herein, Plaintiffs WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY, an
institution of higher education and agency of the State of Washington, KIRK H. SCHULZ, in
his official capacity as President of Washington State University and his official capacity as
Chair of the Pac-12 Board of Directors, OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY, an institution of
higher education and agency of the State of Oregon, and JAYATHI Y. MURTHY, in her
official capacity as President of Oregon State University and her official capacity as Member
of the Pac-12 Board of Directors, allege as follows:

8

I. INTRODUCTION

9 1. For over 100 years, the Pac-12 Conference (the "Pac-12" or "Conference") has 10 embodied the highest ideals of collegiate athletics. Nicknamed the Conference of Champions, 11 the Pac-12 has won over 550 NCAA team national championships—more than any other 12 conference in history, and over 200 more than the next closest conference. Today, the Pac-12 13 sponsors 11 men's sports and 13 women's sports. As stated in its Handbook, the Conference 14 "strive[s] to enrich and balance the athletics and educational experience of student-athletes at 15 its member institutions, to enhance athletic and academic integrity among its members, and to 16 provide leadership in support of its basic values," which include "the well-being of student 17 athletes," "excellence with integrity," and "a culture of equity, diversity, inclusiveness and 18 collegiality."

19 2. In June 2022, the University of Southern California ("USC") and the University of 20 California, Los Angeles ("UCLA") announced that they would leave the Pac-12, effective 21 August 2024, at the conclusion of its existing media rights deals with ESPN and Fox, to join 22 the competing Big Ten Conference. Those announcements violated the Pac-12's Constitution 23 and Bylaws ("Bylaws"), which state that "[n]o member shall deliver a notice of withdrawal to 24 the Conference in the period beginning on July 24, 2011, and ending on August 1, 2024[.]" 25 This prohibition recognizes the substantial and irreparable harm that an early notice of 26 withdrawal causes to the Conference, including to its ability to retain other members and to

1 negotiate future media rights deals, which generate significant revenue for the member
2 schools and support the Conference's mission.

3 3. The Bylaws also specify the consequence of delivering an early notice of 4 withdrawal. The Bylaws state that if a member delivers "notice of withdrawal" prior to 5 August 1, 2024, "the member's representative to the Pac-12 Board of Directors automatically 6 shall cease to be a member of the Pac-12 Board of Directors and shall cease to have the right 7 to vote on any matter before the Pac-12 Board of Directors." This "automatic" elimination of 8 the departing member's Board seat and termination of its right to vote on Board matters is not 9 only dictated by the clear and unambiguous language of the Bylaws; it is also compelled by 10 basic principles of conflict of interest. A member that has announced that it is leaving the 11 Conference to join a competing conference cannot be expected to make decisions in the best 12 interest of the Pac-12.

4. Consistent with the Bylaws, after USC and UCLA gave notice that they would
withdraw from the Pac-12 in 2024 to join the Big Ten, their representatives were
automatically removed from the Pac-12 Board of Directors, and they were no longer allowed
to participate in Board meetings or to vote on Board matters.

5. More recently, eight other Pac-12 members have delivered notice of withdrawal
from the Pac-12 Conference, and announced their intention to join the Big Ten, Big 12, and
Atlantic Coast Conferences in 2024. The only two Pac-12 members that have *not* delivered a
notice of withdrawal are Washington State University ("WSU") and Oregon State University
("OSU"). WSU and OSU have each been members of the Pac-12 for more than a century,
and they are dedicated to promoting the Conference and its mission. In particular, they are
interested in exploring opportunities to preserve the Pac-12 Conference.

6. The ten departing members no longer share this goal. Having announced their
plans to leave the Pac-12 and join competing conferences next year, they have no incentive to
devote the resources needed to retain employees and partners, recruit new members, and take
the other steps necessary that would preserve the opportunity for the Pac-12 to continue

28

1 forward as a preeminent intercollegiate athletic conference. On the contrary, they are now
2 motivated to dissolve the Pac-12—against which their new conferences will otherwise
3 compete beginning next year—and distribute its assets.

4 7. Nevertheless, in direct contravention of the Pac-12 Bylaws, and in direct defiance 5 of the Conference's treatment of USC and UCLA in 2022 when they delivered early notices 6 of withdrawal, and Colorado when it delivered its notice of withdrawal just over a month ago, 7 the Commissioner of the Pac-12 has now taken the position that the departing members *retain* 8 their Board seats and may continue to vote on Board matters. Among other things, the 9 Commissioner has scheduled a "Board Meeting" for September 13, 2023, at which all twelve 10 members' Board representatives are supposed to discuss and vote on matters including an 11 employee-retention plan and a "go forward governance approach." The Commissioner has 12 scheduled this purported "Board Meeting" after Plaintiff Kirk H. Schulz-who is the 13 President of WSU and the Chair of the Pac-12 Board of Directors-refused to call a meeting 14 that would violate the Bylaws.

8. The Conference's actions have left Plaintiffs WSU and OSU, as the only
Conference members that have not delivered a notice of withdrawal from the Conference, and
Schulz and Murthy, acting in their official capacities as the Presidents of WSU and OSU and
as the Chair and a member of the Pac-12 Board, with no option but to bring this action for
breach of bylaws, declaratory judgment, and injunctive relief to prevent the Conference from
allowing the departing members to purport to govern the Pac-12 in violation of the Bylaws
and inflict irreparable damage to WSU, OSU, and the Conference.

22

27

28

II. THE PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Washington State University is a public research university with its
largest campus and athletic department in Pullman, Washington. WSU (then known as
Washington State College) has been a member of the Pac-12 Conference since 1916, just one
year after the Pac-12 Conference's founding.

COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF BYLAWS, DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

10. Plaintiff Kirk H. Schulz is the President of Washington State University. President Schulz is WSU's representative on the Pac-12 Board of Directors and is the 2023-2024 Board Chair. He brings this action in his official capacities as President of WSU and Chair of the 4 Pac-12 Board. He is a resident of the state of Washington.

1

2

3

5

6

7

26

27

28

11. Plaintiff Oregon State University is a public research university based in Corvallis, Oregon. It is a founding member of the Pac-12 Conference. OSU (then known as Oregon Agricultural College) was one of the four founding members of the Pac-12 in 1915.

8 12. Plaintiff Jayathi Y. Murthy is the President of Oregon State University. President 9 Murthy is OSU's representative on the Pac-12 Board of Directors. She brings this action in 10 her official capacities as President of OSU and Director of the Pac-12. She is a resident of the 11 state of Oregon.

12 13. Defendant Pac-12 Conference is a California unincorporated association. The Pac-13 12, which has been known by different names throughout its history, has been the western 14 United States' preeminent intercollegiate athletics conference since it was founded in 1915. 15 Under its Constitution and Bylaws, the current members of the Conference are the University 16 of Arizona; Arizona State University; the University of California, Berkeley; the University of 17 California, Los Angeles; the University of Colorado, Boulder; the University of Oregon; 18 Oregon State University; the University of Southern California; Stanford University; the 19 University of Utah; the University of Washington; and Washington State University. As of 20 June 2023, the Conference has given up its permanent headquarters and has moved to a 21 "remote work environment." As an unincorporated association, the Pac-12 is a citizen of each 22 state where at least one of its members is a citizen, including Washington.

23 14. Defendant George Kliavkoff is the Commissioner of the Pac-12 Conference, and 24 he is sued here in that capacity. On information and belief, he is a resident of the state of 25 California.

COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF BYLAWS, DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

JURISDICTION AND VENUE III.

15. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to RCW 2.08.010, RCW 4.28.180, and RCW 4.28.185 because the Pac-12 is a citizen of Washington and also the causes of action arise from Defendants' transaction of business within Washington State.

5 16. Venue is proper in Whitman County pursuant to RCW 4.12.010 et. seq., and Wash. Sup. Ct. Civ. R. 82 because the Pac-12 resides in this County and also transacts business in 6 7 this county, because the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this County, and because Plaintiffs Schulz and WSU reside in Whitman County. 8

9

10

11

13

1

2

3

4

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS IV.

The Pac-12 Conference's Constitution and Bylaws A.

17. The Pac-12 Conference was founded in 1915 by OSU, the University of 12 California, Berkeley, the University of Oregon, and the University of Washington. WSU and Stanford accepted invitations to join in 1916.

14 18. Along with the Atlantic Coast Conference ("ACC"), the Big Ten Conference, the 15 Big 12 Conference, and the Southeastern Conference ("SEC"), the Pac-12 is one of the five 16 conferences in the National Collegiate Athletics Association ("NCAA") that are unofficially 17 known as the "Power Five." These conferences are the most successful and prominent in 18 American college sports. Being a member of one of these conferences has been lucrative for member institutions because of the media revenues that the conferences generate. Last year, 19 20 each of the Power Five conferences reported distributing between \$35 and \$60 million to each 21 of their members. The Pac-12 Conference's existing media rights deals with ESPN and Fox will terminate in 2024. 22

23

19. The Conference is governed by its Constitution and Bylaws. As a condition and obligation of membership in the Pac-12, each member agrees "[t]o cooperate in the spirit of 24 25 mutual trust and confidence with the other members of the Conference in supporting and 26 promoting the objectives of the Conference." Constitution and Bylaws, Ch. 3, Sec. 1.g.

1 20. The Conference can act only under the direction of its Board of Directors. See 2 Constitution and Bylaws, Ch. 5, Sec. 1. The Board of Directors manages or directs all 3 business and affairs of the Conference and acts as its governing body. Id. Each member of 4 the Conference (to the extent such member has not delivered a notice of withdrawal) has one 5 representative on the Pac-12 Board of Directors, who must be the Chancellor or President of 6 the member institution. Id., Ch. 5, Sec. 2. Special meetings of the Pac-12 Board of Directors 7 may be held on call by the Chair, the Commissioner, or jointly by any two members of the 8 Pac-12 Board of Directors, with at least ten days' notice. Id., Ch. 5, Sec. 5.

9 21. Under the Constitution and Bylaws, the Commissioner is "responsible for ensuring
10 that the objectives, policies, and orders of the Pac-12 Board of Directors are implemented."
11 Constitution and Bylaws, Ch. 6, Sec. 3. The Commissioner is also responsible for
12 "perform[ing] such other duties incident to that office and delegated by the Pac-12 Board of
13 Directors or this Constitution and Bylaws." *Id*.

14 22. The Pac-12 Bylaws prohibit members from delivering a notice of withdrawal to
15 the Conference before August 1, 2024, and specify in clear and unambiguous terms the
16 consequences of delivering an early notice of withdrawal for the withdrawing member's
17 ability to continue participating in the governance of the Pac-12. The Bylaws state:

"No member shall deliver a notice of withdrawal to the Conference in the period beginning on July 24, 2011, and ending on August 1, 2024; provided, that if any member does deliver a notice of withdrawal prior to August 1, 2024, in violation of this chapter, the Conference shall be entitled to an injunction and other equitable relief to prevent such breach, and if a court of competent jurisdiction shall deny the Conference such injunctive relief, the Conference shall be entitled to retain all the media and sponsorship rights in the multi-player video distribution (MPVD) and telecommunications/wireless categories of the member purporting to withdraw through August 1, 2024, even if the member is then a member of another conference or an independent school for some or all intercollegiate sports competitions. Additionally, if a member delivers notice of withdrawal in violation of this chapter, the member's representative to the Pac-12 Board of Directors shall automatically cease to be a member of the Pac-12 Board of Directors and shall cease to have the right to vote on any matter before the Pac-12 Board of Directors."

27 Constitution and Bylaws, Ch. 2, Sec. 3 (the "Withdrawal provision") (emphases added).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

USC and UCLA announce their departures from the Pac-12 and lose **B**. their Board seats and the right to vote on Board matters.

23. On June 30, 2022, USC and UCLA announced that they would leave the Pac-12 Conference in 2024 and would join the Big Ten Conference.

24. The announcement came as a shock to the Pac-12 and its members; neither USC nor UCLA had disclosed that they were negotiating with the Big Ten or contemplating leaving the Pac-12. Under their agreements with the Big Ten, USC and UCLA will receive an even share of that conference's revenue, which is expected to approach \$100 million annually for each school. An interim report issued by the University of California Office of the President estimated that each of the remaining 10 member schools in the Pac-12 would lose around \$13 million annually in media rights due to USC's and UCLA's withdrawals.

25. In accordance with the Pac-12's Bylaws, following USC's and UCLA's 2022 notice of withdrawal, their representatives were removed from the Pac-12 Board and were no longer permitted to vote on Board matters.

C. Eight other members of the Pac-12 announce their departures.

26. On July 27, 2023, the University of Colorado, Boulder delivered notice of withdrawal from the Pac-12 Conference, effective in 2024, to join the Big 12 Conference. Colorado is projected to earn approximately \$37 million in annual television revenue as a member of the Big 12.

27. Following Colorado's notice of withdrawal, the Pac-12 Board of Directors held five special meetings between August 1, 2023 and August 4, 2023. Colorado was not invited to any of those five special meetings of the Board of Directors.

28. On August 4, 2023, five more schools delivered notices of withdrawal. The University of Oregon and the University of Washington delivered notice of withdrawal from the Pac-12 Conference to join the Big Ten Conference, while the University of Arizona, Arizona State University, and the University of Utah delivered notice of withdrawal from the Pac-12 Conference to join the Big 12 Conference.

8

29. On September 1, 2023, UC Berkeley and Stanford delivered notice of withdrawal from the Pac-12 to join the ACC.

D. WSU and OSU remain committed to exploring ways to preserve the Pac-12, while the departing members are incentivized to dissolve it.

30. The ten departing members have a significant financial incentive to dissolve the Pac-12 before their departures. If the Pac-12 Conference dissolved, its remaining assets and property would be distributed to all twelve members of the Conference in accordance with the Constitution and Bylaws:

"In the event of the dissolution or final liquidation of the Conference, all of the remaining assets and property of the Conference shall, after paying or making provision for the payment of all of the liabilities and obligations of the Conference and for necessary expenses thereof, be distributed to the members of the Conference."

Constitution and Bylaws, Ch. 1, Sec. 4.

31. For the financial year 2021-2022, the Pac-12 Conference reported record total revenues of \$581 million and record distributions at \$444 million, representing an average of \$37 million per member institution. The Conference ended fiscal year 2022 with \$42.7 million in total net assets. And that figure does *not* include the equity value of the Pac-12's ownership of Pac-12 Networks, which is a sports media company that is wholly owned and operated by the Pac-12. Pac-12 Networks distributes over 800 live sporting events each season, making it one of the top live-sports producers in the country. For the financial year 2021-2022, Pac-12 Networks reported revenues of \$117 million and operating expenses of \$77 million.

32. Beyond receiving lucrative distributions, the departing schools have another significant incentive to dissolve the Pac-12 Conference before August 1, 2024. The departing members' allegiances now lie with their new conferences—the Big Ten, Big 12, and ACC— which compete with the Pac-12 for lucrative media deals from networks like ESPN and Fox, for student athletes, and for viewers.

33. Because of these competing incentives, the departing schools' interests conflict with the interests of the Conference itself and with those of WSU and OSU, the two nondeparting members. If the departing members are permitted to continue voting on Pac-12 Board matters, there is a serious risk that they will prevent the Pac-12 from devoting the resources needed to protect its potential to remain a preeminent intercollegiate athletics conference and will instead set it on a course to dissolution in 2024.

E. The Commissioner defies the Bylaws and schedules a purported "Board Meeting" for September 13, 2023, at which all twelve members are supposed to vote on matters including an employee-retention plan and a "go forward governance approach."

34. On or about August 29, 2023, the Commissioner called Plaintiff Schulz to ask him to call a meeting of the Pac-12 Board of Directors at which the Board would discuss matters related to the departing members, proposed amendments to the Bylaws, a proposed conflicts of interest plan for Pac-12 members, and an employee compensation and retention plan for the Commissioner and other employees of the Pac-12. Plaintiff Schulz told the Commissioner that in light of the rapidly evolving situation concerning the departing members, he was unwilling to call a Pac-12 Board of Directors meeting and would not do so.

35. Following the Commissioner's phone call with Plaintiff Schulz, on August 29, 2023, the Commissioner wrote to all twelve Presidents of the Pac-12's member institutions and proposed a "meeting of all Conference CEOs" to discuss "complex issues facing the Conference." Of course, those same twelve Presidents previously comprised the Pac-12's Board of Directors before ten of the twelve were automatically removed as Directors due to their institutions' violation of the Bylaws' Withdrawal provision. On information and belief, by characterizing the meeting as a "meeting of all Conference CEOs" rather than a Board Meeting, the Commissioner sought to circumvent the clear language of the Withdrawal provision and empower the departing members to decide matters that properly may be decided only by the Board.

36. Despite the Commissioner's careful choice of words, the next day, August 30, 2023, his assistant followed up with all Conference Presidents to schedule a "Pac-12 Board Meeting" for the week of September 11, 2023. This "Board Meeting" is now scheduled for September 13, 2023, at 7:00 am Pacific time.

1

2

3

4

28

5 37. WSU and OSU were understandably concerned that the Commissioner's August 6 29 communication proposing a "meeting of all Conference CEOs," and his assistant's 7 subsequent communication describing this meeting as a "Board Meeting," created the false 8 impression that representatives of all twelve Conference members remain eligible to serve on 9 the Pac-12 Board and to vote on Board matters. Thus, on August 31, OSU's General Counsel, 10 Rebecca Gose, wrote to the Commissioner and to the Pac-12's General Counsel, Scott 11 Petersmeyer, to confirm that the contemplated meeting would *not* be a meeting of the Pac-12 12 Board of Directors. Presumably concerned with the governance issues raised herein, Mr. 13 Petersmeyer failed to respond for nearly a week.

38. On September 5, 2023, Mr. Petersmeyer finally responded, saying: "We do
consider the meeting on 9/13 to be a Board meeting which is being called by [Commissioner
Kliavkoff] as authorized under Pac-12 bylaws. We anticipated voting on certain matters
including the retention plan and having a discussion and possible vote on our go forward
governance approach." In other words, the Commissioner and the departing members are
convening a purported "Board Meeting" to enable conflicted and unauthorized "Directors" to
vote on matters that may determine the future of the Pac-12 Conference.

39. On Wednesday, September 6, 2023—one day after receiving Mr. Petersmeyer's
communication stating that Conference considers the September 13 meeting to be a Board
Meeting—Plaintiffs Schulz and Murthy, the Presidents of WSU and OSU, and the sole Pac-12
Board members pursuant to the Bylaws, sent a letter to the Commissioner, the ten departing
members, and their Presidents or Chancellors demanding that the Commissioner and other
members confirm that (1) the Commissioner will cancel the purported Board meeting
scheduled for September 13, 2023; (2) pursuant to Chapter 2, Section 3 of the Constitution

1 and Bylaws, the representatives of the ten members that have given notice of their withdrawal 2 from the Conference have "automatically cease[d] to be a member of the Pac-12 Board of 3 Directors and shall cease to have the right to vote on any matter"; and (3) the only duly 4 authorized Board members are representatives whose member institutions have not given 5 notice of their withdrawal from the Conference, *i.e.*, the Board representatives from WSU and 6 OSU.

7 40. In an email on September 6, 2023, referring to Plaintiffs Schulz and Murthy's 8 September 6, 2023 letter, one representative of a departing Pac-12 member threatened that the 9 departing members of the Conference were poised to take immediate action to seize control of 10 the Pac-12. The representative wrote: "It seems obvious that any 9 Members can declare the 11 fate of the Conference at any time."

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Breach of Bylaws) (Against All Defendants)

41. Plaintiffs reallege the preceding paragraphs and incorporate them by reference. 42. The Constitution and Bylaws of the Pac-12 Conference constitute a contract between the Conference and its members, governing the rights and duties of the members as between themselves and in their relation to Conference with reference to all matters affecting its internal government and the management of its affairs.

19 43. Under the Commission and Bylaws, the Commissioner is required to "ensur[e] that the objective, policies, and orders of the Pac-12 Board of Directors are implemented," and must "perform such other duties incident to that office and delegated by the Pac-12 Board of Directors or this Constitution and Bylaws." Constitution and Bylaws, Ch. 6, Sec. 3.

44. Under the Constitution and Bylaws, if a member "delivers notice of withdrawal, the member's representative to the Pac-12 Board of Directors shall automatically cease to be a member of the Pac-12 Board of Directors and shall cease to have the right to vote on any matter before the Pac-12 Board of Directors." Constitution and Bylaws, Ch. 2, Sec. 3.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

45. The Conference and the Commissioner have breached or anticipatorily breached Chapter 2, Section 3 of the Constitution and Bylaws by calling a purported "Board Meeting" at which unauthorized "Directors" who are ineligible to vote on Board matters will be invited to vote, including on such matters that will affect whether or not the Pac-12 survives past 2024.

1

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

46. As the only two remaining Board members, Plaintiffs have been harmed by the
Conference and the Commissioner's breach or anticipatory breach of Chapter 2, Section 3 of
the Constitution and Bylaws because their actions to call an unauthorized and improper Board
of Directors meeting compromise WSU and OSU's ability and authority to manage or direct
the business and affairs of the Conference.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Judgment) (Against All Defendants)

47. Plaintiffs reallege the preceding paragraphs and incorporate them by reference.
48. Plaintiffs bring this claim under RCW 7.24.010 *et seq*. for a declaration of their rights pursuant to the Pac-12 Constitution and Bylaws.

49. The language of the Pac-12 Constitution and Bylaws Chapter 2, Section 3 is clear and unambiguous. The Conference and departing members' proposal to have the departing members vote on any matter before the Pac-12 Board of Directors contravenes the plain language of the Constitution and Bylaws.

50. The Defendants' prior treatment of USC, UCLA, and Colorado demonstrate that there is no ambiguity or good faith dispute about the meaning of Chapter 2, Section 3, and they should be estopped from arguing differently.

51. Judicial intervention to protect the rights of the non-departing members of the Pac12—WSU and OSU—strongly outweighs the burden on the courts of issuing an injunction, as
well as any purported interest by the Conference in maintaining its autonomy (even though
WSU's and OSU's representatives now comprise the Pac-12 Board pursuant to its Bylaws,
and are solely empowered to direct its business and affairs).

1 52. Members who have delivered notice of withdrawal from the Pac-12 Conference, 2 and expressed their intention to join competing conferences, are conflicted and can no longer 3 be expected to act in the best interest of the Pac-12 Conference. The Pac-12 Conference can 4 only act through Board representatives whose members have not given notice of their 5 withdrawal from the Conference—namely, the Board representatives of WSU and OSU. 6 53. The question of Board control is ripe—and indeed urgent—because the 7 Commissioner has scheduled the unauthorized "Board Meeting" for September 13, 2023. 8 Plaintiffs' objections to that meeting are both procedural and substantive, and are crucially 9 important, because any purported vote taken at the September 13 meeting may doom the Pac-10 12's ability to survive past 2024. 11 54. Therefore, Plaintiffs seek a declaration that the Presidents and Chancellors of the 12 schools that have delivered notice of withdrawal from the Pac-12 are no longer members of 13 the Pac-12 Board of Directors and may not vote on any matter before the Pac-12 Board of 14 Directors. 15 THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Injunctive Relief) 16 (Against All Defendants) 17 55. Plaintiffs reallege the preceding paragraphs and incorporate them by reference. 18 56. The Pac-12 Conference must be enjoined from allowing the departing members' 19 former Board representatives to vote on any matter before the Pac-12 Board of Directors, 20 pursuant to the Constitution and Bylaws of the Pac-12 Conference. 21 57. Commissioner Kliavkoff must be enjoined from calling any Board meeting that 22 includes a vote by any of the departing members' former Board representatives. 23 58. The Pac-12 Conference and Commissioner Kliavkoff must be enjoined from 24 transacting any business or affairs of the Conference based on votes purportedly cast by the 25 departing members' former Board representatives in violation of the Constitution and Bylaws 26 of the Pac-12 Conference. 27 COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF BYLAWS, DECLARATORY 28 14 JUDGMENT, AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment from this Court on their claims as		
3	follows:		
4	1. For a declaratory judgment that representatives of the following members that have		
5	delivered notice of withdrawal from the Pac-12 are no longer members of the Pac-12 Board of		
6	Directors and may not vote on any matter before the Pac-12 Board of Directors: the		
7	University of Arizona; Arizona State University; the University of California, Berkeley; the		
8	University of California, Los Angeles; the University of Colorado, Boulder; the University of		
9	Oregon; the University of Southern California; Stanford University; the University of Utah;		
10	and the University of Washington;		
11	2. For temporary and permanent injunctive relief;		
12	3. For an award of costs; and		
13	4. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and equitable.		
14			
15	DATED this 8th day of September, 2023.		
16			
17	/s/ Nathan E. Deen	/s/ Matthew Mensik	
18	Nathan E. Deen, WSBA No. 39673 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	Matthew Mensik, WSBA No. 44260 Max K. Archer, WSBA No. 54081	
19	332 French Administration Building Pullman, Washington 99164	RIVERSIDE LAW GROUP, PLLC 905 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 208	
20	Telephone: (509) 335-2636	Spokane, WA 99201 Email: mam@riverside-law.com	
21	Email: nathan_deen@wsu.edu	mka@riverside-law.com	
22	Andrew S. Tulumello (pro hac vice application forthcoming)	Eric H. MacMichael (pro hac vice application forthcoming)	
23	Arianna M. Scavetti (pro hac vice application	Nicholas S. Goldberg (pro hac vice application forthcoming)	
24	forthcoming) Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	David J. Silbert (pro hac vice application forthcoming)	
25	2001 M Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036	Taylor Reeves (pro hac vice application forthcoming)	
26	Telephone: (202) 682-7000 Email: drew.tulumello@weil.com	Nathaniel H. Brown (pro hac vice application forthcoming)	
27	arianna.scavetti@weil.com	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP 633 Battery Street	
28	COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF BYLAWS, DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	15	
	SODGINERY, AND INCONCILVE RELIEF	1.	

1		San Francisco, CA 94111
2	Zachary A. Schreiber (pro hac vice application	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Email: emacmichael@keker.com
	forthcoming) Mary K. Clemmons (pro hac vice application	ngoldberg@keker.com
3	forthcoming)	dsilbert@keker.com treeves@keker.com
4	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	nbrown@keker.com
5	767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153	Michael B. Merchant, WSBA No. 18721
6	Telephone: (212) 310-8000	Britta Warren, WSBA No. 43329
	Email: zach.schreiber@weil.com	Timothy B. Crippen, WSBA No. 50391 BLACK HELTERLINE, LLP
7	katie.clemmons@weil.com	805 SW Broadway, Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97211
8	Counsel for Plaintiffs Washington State	Email: mike.merchant@bhlaw.com
9	University and Kirk H. Schulz, in his official	britta.warren@bhlaw.com tim.crippen@bhlaw.com
	capacities as the President of Washington State University and Chair of the Pac-12 Board of	
10	Directors	Counsel for Plaintiffs Oregon State University and Jayathi Y. Murthy, in her
11		official capacities as the President of
12		Oregon State University and as Member of the Pac-12 Board of Directors
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF BYLAWS, DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	16